

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,362	12/17/2001	Philippe Magnier	5310-03400	7900	
7:	590 08/04/2003				
Eric B Meyertons			EXAMINER		
Conley Rose & Tayon PO Box 398			LUK, LAWRENCE W		
Austin, TX 78	3/6/-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2838		
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-}		_ \ \ \ \ /				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/937,362	MAGNIER, PHILIPPE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lawrence Luk	2838				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHOTHE IN CONTROL OF THE INCOME. If the Failure Any re-	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become be	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u></u>					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice uon of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,7,8,10-13,16 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
	on Papers						
, —-	The specification is objected to by the Exa		Wa E and an				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
44)[7]	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on						
11)[• •		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	no Examinor.					
•	•••	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some "C) ☐ Notice of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* S	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
)						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/937,362

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stuhlbacher et al. (5,781,976).

In regard to claims 1 and 9, Stuhlbacher et al. discloses the elements as claimed. Specifically, Stuhlbacher et al. shows device for prevention against explosion of an electrical transformer comprising an enclosure filled with combustible coolant, and a decompression element coupled to the enclosure and configured to decompress the enclosure of the transformer during use (refer to col.1, lines 15-45). wherein the decompression element comprises a rupture element comprising a retention part, the retention part comprising first zones which have a reduced thickness in comparison with the rest of the retention pant and are capable of tearing without fragmenting when the rupture element ruptures, and second zones which have a reduced thickness in comparison with the rest of the retention part and are capable of folding without tearing when the rupture element ruptures, the rupture element being capable of breaking when the pressure inside the enclosure exceeds a predetermined ceiling (refer to col.2, line 45 to col.3, line 20).

In regard to claims 5 and 14, Stuhlbacher et al. shows the retention part is made of stainless steel, aluminum or aluminum alloy (refer to col.1, lines 23-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/937,362 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuhlbacher et al. (5,781,976) in combination with Moore (4,117,525).

In regard to claims 6 and 15, Stuhlbacher et al. discloses the elements as claims, except for a rupture-detection element integrated with the rupture element.

Moore shows a rupture-detection element integrated with the rupture element (refer to col.3, lines 11-14).

It would have been obvious to person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of Stuhlbacher et al. to include a rupture-detection element integrated with the rupture element as taught by Moore for providing overpressure protection.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10-13, 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. The prior art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest that: Claims 2 and 11, the rupture element further comprises a sealing component which ii arranged on the coolant side of the enclosure and is capable of closing off small-diameter holes formed in the retention part; Claims 3 and 12, the sealing component is in the form of a lining on the retention part. the lining

Application/Control Number: 09/937,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

base claim.

being composed of polytetrafluoroethylene; Claims 4 and 13, the retention part has a domed shape with convexity on the opposite side to the coolant; Claims 7 and 16, the rupture-detection element comprises an electrical wire capable of breaking at the same time as the rupture element, the electrical wire being adhesively bonded on the rupture element; Claims 8 and 17 are dependent on claims 7 and 16; Claim 10, an electrical feed-through wherein an additional decompression element is coupled to the electrical feed-through. Claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10-13, 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten in independent from including all of the limitations of the

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Luk whose telephone number is (703)305-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (703) 308-1680. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-7724 for regular communications and (703)305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

LWL July 22, 2003

Lawrence hole examiner 7/22/03